DRAFT VERSION FEBRUARY 5, 2008
Preprint typeset using LATEX style emulateapj v. 11/12/01

arXiv:astro-ph/0509567v1 19 Sep 2005

AN UNUSUAL SPECTRAL STATE OF AN ULTRA-LUMINOUS VERY SOFT X-RAY SOURCE DURING OUTBURST

A. K. H. KONG[1], R. DI STEFANO[2,3]
[1] Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139; akong@space.mit.edu
[2] Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138; rd@cfa.harvard.edu
[3] Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford, MA 02155

Draft version February 5, 2008

ABSTRACT

We report the results of *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* observations of a new outburst of an ultra-luminous X-ray source in M101. During a *Chandra* monitoring observation of M101, M101 ULX-1 was found to be in outburst in 2004 December, the second outburst in 2004. The peak bolometric luminosity is about 3×10^{40} ergs s^{-1} (7×10^{39} ergs s^{-1} in 0.3–7 keV). The outburst spectra are very soft and can generally be fitted with a blackbody model with temperatures of 40–80 eV, similar to supersoft X-ray sources in the Milky Way and in the Magellanic Clouds. In one *Chandra* observation, the source spectrum appears to be harder with a temperature of 150 eV. Such a spectral state is rarely seen in M101 ULX-1 and no X-ray source in the Milky Way shows this kind of spectrum. However, such an unusual spectral state very likely belongs to a new class of X-ray sources, quasisoft X-ray sources, recently discovered in nearby galaxies. M101 ULX-1 returned to supersoft state in a subsequent *XMM-Newton* observation. Based on the two outbursts in 2004, the extremely high luminosity ($L_{bol} = 10^{40} - 10^{41}$ ergs s^{-1}), very soft X-ray spectra ($kT = 40 - 150$ eV), transient behavior, and state transition provide strong evidence that M101 ULX-1 harbors an intermediate-mass black hole.

Subject headings: black hole physics — galaxies: individual (M101) — X-rays: binaries — X-rays: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

Recent high angular resolution X-ray observations reveal that there are a large number of ultra-luminous X-ray sources (ULXs) in many nearby galaxies. ULXs are luminous ($L_X > 10^{39}$ ergs s^{-1}) non-nuclear X-ray point sources with apparent X-ray luminosities above the Eddington limit for a $\sim 10M_\odot$ black hole. While some ULXs have been associated with supernovae, many are thought to be accreting objects with X-ray flux variability observed on timescales of hours to years. A natural possibility is that the compact object is an intermediate-mass black hole (IMBH) with mass of $\sim 10^{2-4}M_\odot$ (Miller & Colbert 2004). The origin of such objects remains uncertain. Some ULXs may have a stellar-mass black hole with beamed emission (King et al. 2001; Körding et al. 2002). The majority of ULXs have X-ray emission from 0.1–10 keV. Because the radius of the event horizon, and therefore the radius of the inner boundary of the accretion disk increases with black hole mass, the temperature of the inner disk of IMBHs is smaller than typical stellar mass black holes. Recent X-ray observations suggest that some ULXs have a cool accretion disk ($kT \sim 0.1$ keV), suggesting the presence of IMBHs (Miller et al. 2003,2004; Wang et al. 2004).

In a subset of ULXs which exhibit a soft blackbody component, a few of them have very soft spectra with no X-ray emission above 1 keV (Fabbiano et al. 2003; Di Stefano & Kong 2004; Kong & Di Stefano 2003; Kong et al. 2004), similar to supersoft X-ray sources (SSSs) in the Milky Way and the Magellanic Clouds. The high luminosities of ultra-luminous SSSs are inconsistent with typical nuclear burning white dwarf models for Galactic SSSs (e.g., van den Heuvel et al. 1992). These ultra-luminous SSSs could, however, very well be IMBHs. Their luminosities and temperatures are consistent with what is predicted for accreting BHs with masses between roughly 100 and 1000 $M_\odot$. Alternatively, outflows from stellar-mass black holes could also achieve such a high luminosity and low temperature (King & Pounds 2003).

The ULX, M101 ULX-1, is one of the most luminous ULXs. It is very luminous ($L_{bol} \sim 10^{40-41}$ ergs s^{-1}) and has a very soft X-ray spectrum during outburst (see Kong et al. 2004). The source underwent an outburst in 2004 December. In this Letter, we report a series of *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* observations of M101 ULX-1 during the 2004 December outburst. Spectral fits suggest that the source changed from a supersoft state to a quasisoft state. We compare these results with previous outbursts and suggest that M101 ULX-1 may harbor an IMBH.

2. OUTBURSTS OF M101 ULX-1

M101 ULX-1 was discovered with *ROSAT* and was confirmed as a SSS with a blackbody temperature of about 100 eV, with *Chandra* (Pence et al. 2001; Mukai et al. 2003; Di Stefano & Kong 2003). During 2000 March, *Chandra* detected it at $L_X \sim 3\times10^{39}$ erg s^{-1}, and then in 2000 October, its luminosity was around 10^{39} ergs s^{-1}. In 2004, *Chandra* conducted a monitoring program for M101. Figure 1 shows the long-term X-ray lightcurve of M101 ULX-1 from 2004 January to 2005 January. Two outbursts occurred in 2004 and M101 ULX-1 was at about $L_X = 10^{37}$ ergs s^{-1} during January, March, May, September, and November. The X-ray luminosities were about 10^{37} ergs s^{-1} and the spectra can be fitted with a power-law model (Kong et al. 2004). The source was found in outburst in 2004 July. The detailed analysis of the *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* data was presented in Kong et al. (2004). The outburst spectra are best described with an absorbed blackbody model with temperatures of $\sim 50 - 100$ eV; the peak bolometric luminosity is about 10^{41} ergs s^{-1}. Subsequent *XMM-Newton* observations found that M101 ULX-1 returned to the low state at the end of 2004 July (see Fig. 1; Kong et al. 2004,2005).



FIG. 1.— Long-term light curve of M101 ULX-1 observed with *Chandra* (solid circles) and *XMM-Newton* (open circles) during 2004. The 2 outbursts are clearly shown. At the time where no data are shown in the plot, the source was not observed.

M101 ULX-1 brightened again when *Chandra* observed M101 on 2004 December 22. The count rate jumped from 0.0003 c/s in November to 0.001 c/s on December 22. Subsequent *Chandra* observations were made on December 24, 30, and 2005 January 1 and the count rate was increasing (see Fig. 1). A target-of-opportunity *XMM-Newton* follow-up observation was made on 2005 January 8. No X-ray observations have occurred since then.

3. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

3.1. *Chandra*

M101 was observed with *Chandra* on 2004 December 22, 24, 30, and 2005 January 1. In all observations, the center of M101 and M101 ULX-1 are in the S3 chip of the ACIS-S but because of the different roll angles, M101 ULX-1 is in different location of the S3 chip in each observation. Each observation was reprocessed with updated calibration. In order to reduce the instrumental background, we screened the data to allow only photon energies in the range of 0.3–7 keV. We also searched for periods of high background using source free regions in the S1 chip and filtered all the high background periods. Only the 2005 January 1 observation was affected in which high background lasted for about 1 ks; the exposure times listed in Table 1 correspond to the good time intervals. All data were reduced and analyzed with the CIAO v3.2.1 package, and calibration database CALDB 3.0.1.

3.2. *XMM-Newton*

Shortly after the discovery of the outburst of M101 ULX-1 with *Chandra*, there was an *XMM-Newton* target-of-opportunity follow-up observation. The *XMM-Newton* observation was taken on 2005 January 8 for 32 ks, and the instrument modes were full-frame, thin filter for the three European Photon Imaging Cameras (EPIC). After rejecting those intervals with a high background level, we considered a good time interval of 17 ks. Only data in 0.2–12 keV were used for analysis. We also selected data with FLAG=0 to reject bad pixels and events too close to chip edges, and patterns 0–4 for the EPIC-pn camera and 0–12 for the EPIC-MOS1 and EPIC-MOS2 cameras. Data were reduced and analyzed with the *XMM-Newton* SAS package v5.4.1.

4. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

We performed spectral analysis for all *Chandra* data of the 2004 December outburst shown in Table 1. The rest of the 2004 data were reported in Kong et al. (2004). Spectral analysis was performed by using Sherpa. We also used XSPEC v11.3 for independent check. For models involving pile-up, we used ISIS for spectral fits. In each observation, we extracted the source spectrum from a 6″ radius circular region centered on the source, while an annulus region centered on the source was used as the background. Corresponding response files were generated using CIAO.

During the beginning of the outburst (Dec 22 and 24), count rates were relatively low; we rebinned the spectra with at least 5 counts per spectral bin, and applied Gehrels' approximation (Gehrels 1986) to employ χ^2 statistics to find the best-fit parameters. For the data taken near the peak of the outburst (Dec 30 and Jan 1), we grouped the data into spectral bins containing at least 20 counts and used χ^2 statistics to estimate the best-fit parameters and their errors. We tried power-law, blackbody, and disk blackbody models with interstellar absorption. Power-law model yielded a very large photon index (~ 10) in each case and it gave unacceptable fits for the December 30 and January 1 data. Both blackbody and disk blackbody models were equally acceptable and gave similar fits. The best-fitting spectral parameters for all *Chandra* observations taken during the 2004 December outburst are shown in Table 1. The inner disk temperatures are slightly higher than the blackbody temperatures, but the normalizations (hence the inner disk radii) often have large error ranges. In general, the blackbody temperatures are between $\sim 40-80$ eV except for the January 1 data. N_H is about $(1-5)\times 10^{21}$ cm^{-2}, significantly higher than the Galactic value. The 0.3–7 keV unabsorbed luminosity ranges from 10^{39} ergs s^{-1} to 10^{40} ergs s^{-1}. The peak bolometric luminosity derived from blackbody fit on December 30 is about 2×10^{40} ergs s^{-1}. It is worth noting that the January 1 data suffered from pile-up ($\sim$ 12%) due to the high count rate. We included pile-up effect when fitting the data using the pile-up model in ISIS (Davis 2001). Clearly, M101 ULX-1 became significantly hotter (kT_{BB} = 149 eV) on 2005 January 1; the bolometric luminosity is 4×10^{39} ergs s^{-1}. Figure 2 shows the blackbody fits of the 2004 December 30 and 2005 January 1 data.

We used similar techniques to analyze the *XMM-Newton* data. We extracted the source spectrum from a 15″ radius circle centered on the source, and the background spectrum from a source free region. Response files were generated for each detector using SAS tools. Prior to the spectral fit, the spectra were grouped to have at least 20 counts per bin. M101 ULX-1 was brighter than the January 1 *Chandra* observation with about 1200 background-subtracted counts in EPIC-pn. We fitted the EPIC-pn, EPIC-MOS1, EPIC-MOS2 spectra simultaneously with several single-component models. Like the *Chandra* data, only blackbody and disk blackbody models gave acceptable fits (see Table 1). The 0.3–7 keV luminosity is about 5×10^{39} ergs s^{-1}while the bolometric luminosity is

3×10^{40} ergs s^{-1}, suggesting that the source was rebrightening or in the plateau phase. The best fitting blackbody fits of the *XMM-Newton* spectra are shown in Figure 2.



FIG. 2.— *Chandra* spectra of M101 ULX-1 taken on 2004 December 30 (top) and 2005 January 1 (middle). The spectra can be described by a blackbody model with kT = 70 eV (December 30) and kT = 149 eV (January 1). The bottom panel shows the *XMM-Newton* spectra taken on 2005 January 8. The spectral fit is a blackbody model with kT = 55 eV. MOS1, MOS2, and pn data are marked as open squares, open triangles, and filled circles, respectively.

5. DISCUSSION

M101 ULX-1 is a transient ultra-luminous SSS and it underwent a second outburst in 2004. The outburst spectra became supersoft ($kT < 100$ eV) but the spectrum of 2005 January 1 data is clearly different, suggesting a spectral change during outburst. Majority of the outburst spectra are supersoft with no significant X-ray emission above 1 keV, while the January 1 spectrum is hotter with kT_{BB} = 149 eV (kT_{in} = 175 eV) and a high energy tail can be seen up to 5 keV. There are no known X-ray sources in the universe that show such an unusual spectral transition but the spectral behaviors can be associated with a new class of X-ray sources, quasisoft X-ray sources (QSSs), recently discovered in nearby galaxies (Di Stefano & Kong 2004). The signature of QSS is a spectrum with 100 eV $< kT <$ 350 eV and $L_X > 10^{36}$ ergs s^{-1}, which is consistent with the one seen in January 1. In fact, if we follow the classification procedure described in Di Stefano & Kong (2004), M101 ULX-1 belongs to SSS in all outburst observations except for January 1 during which the source is classified as a QSS. Moreover, the quasisoft state of M101 ULX-1 is ultra-luminous ($L_{bol} = 4 \times 10^{39}$ ergs s^{-1}). To summarize the 2004 December outburst of M101 ULX-1, the source changed from a SSS to a QSS when it was near the peak of the outburst, and then became a SSS again. The supersoft and quasisoft state transition strongly suggests that SSSs and QSSs are closely related. Indeed, as discussed in Di Stefano & Kong (2004), the distinction between SSSs and QSSs is phenomenological and not physical. They may represent the same class of sources. Nevertheless, QSSs appear to represent states that have not yet been well studied. In fact, there are no known examples of these sources in the Milky Way or the Magellanic Clouds.

In theory, luminous SSSs and QSSs are good candidates for IMBHs because of their high luminosities and low temperatures (Di Stefano & Kong 2003,2004). However, there are only very few examples that X-ray spectroscopy can be done due to the low count rates and we cannot conclusively determine whether they are black hole candidates. M101 ULX-1 is a very unique source that provides strong evidences for an IMBH accretor. As discussed in Kong et al. (2004), white dwarf and neutron star models are very unlikely because there is no obvious explanation for the extreme luminosity (Kong et al. 2004). There is the suggestion that the black hole accretor of M101 ULX-1 is indeed a stellar mass object with outflow (King & Pounds 2003; Mukai et al. 2003). However, this model cannot explain the changes of temperature, the extremely high luminosities, and the state transition in the recent outbursts. Moreover, such a stellar-mass black hole model is based on spectral analysis with piled-up spectra during the 2000 March outburst (Mukai et al. 2003). It is worth noting that without proper care of a piled-up spectrum, the resulting spectral fit will become harder than the true value and the luminosity will also be estimated incorrectly (Davis 2001). In fact, Mukai et al. (2003) noticed that there was an excess in high energies and they restricted the fit below 1.5 keV. While they also mentioned that an additional power-law may explain the excess, we suspect that the excess is due to pile-up. For a direct comparison to the results reported here, we followed the procedures outlined in Mukai et al. (2003) and re-analyzed the 2000 March outburst data with a pile-up model. While the spectral fits during low and medium luminosity states are not significantly affected by pile-up, the high state spectrum has about 10% pile-up. We also performed a MARX simulation and confirmed that at this high count rate level, pile-up is unavoidable.

We first fit the 0.3–1.5 keV spectrum without a pile-up model and the results are consistent with Mukai et al. (2003; $N_H = 2.8 \times 10^{20}$ cm^{-2}, kT_{BB} = 0.17 keV, $L_{bol} = 3 \times 10^{39}$ ergs s^{-1}, χ^2_ν = 1.1). We then include pile-up in the spectral fit (0.3–7 keV) using the pile-up model in ISIS developed by Davis (2001). The resulting blackbody temperature drops from 0.17 keV to 0.14 keV while the N_H raises to 5.5×10^{20} cm^{-2}; the

TABLE 1

BEST-FITTING SPECTRAL PARAMETERS

			Blackbody					Disk Blackbody				
Date	Exposure (ks)	Counts	$N_H{}^a$ ($\times 10^{21}$ cm^{-2})	kT_{BB} (eV)	χ^2_ν/dof	$L_X{}^a$	$L_{bol}{}^b$	N_H ($\times 10^{21}$ cm^{-2})	kT_{in} (eV)	norm^c	χ^2_ν/dof	$L_X{}^a$
Dec 22	48	44	< 5.5	45^{+23}_{-23}	0.47/5	9.6	85	$2.0^{+1.2}_{-0.8}$	52^{+35}_{-8}	$19764^{+\infty}_{-19347}$	0.48/5	7.0
Dec 24	41	55	< 4.5	42^{+24}_{-24}	0.5/6	8.3	102	$1.5^{+1.0}_{-0.6}$	47^{+4}_{-6}	$49109^{+\infty}_{-47836}$	0.5/6	8.3
Dec 30	29	618	$2.2^{+0.8}_{-0.8}$	70^{+7}_{-7}	1.1/20	74.4	196	$2.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	77^{+8}_{-6}	18896^{+82233}_{-13934}	1.1/20	122
Jan 1	20	1487	$0.9^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	149^{+3}_{-3}	1.0/53	32	39	$1.5^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	175^{+1}_{-2}	94^{+4}_{-5}	0.96/53	54
Jan 8	17	1699	$2.1^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$	$55^{+3.9}_{-3.7}$	0.93/61	53.7	300	$2.1^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$63^{+0.8}_{-0.8}$	58860^{+5899}_{-5340}	0.96/61	70

NOTES — All quoted uncertainties are 90% confidence. The spectral fits of January 1 data are corrected for pile-up.

[a] 0.3–7 keV unabsorbed luminosity ($\times 10^{38}$ ergs s^{-1}), assuming 6.7 Mpc (Freedman et al. 2001).

[b] Bolometric luminosity ($\times 10^{38}$ ergs s^{-1}).

[c] in units of $\left(\frac{R_{in}}{D_{10}}\right)^2 \cos\theta$, where R_{in} is the inner disk radius (in km), D_{10} the distance (in 10 kpc) to the source, and θ the angle of the disk.

bolometric luminosity is about 6.3×10^{39} ergs s^{-1}, a factor of 2 greater than Mukai et al. (2003). The argument for a stellar mass black hole accretor is that the bolometric luminosities during the outburst are roughly at 3×10^{39} ergs s^{-1}, suggesting an Eddington limit for a $10M_\odot$ black hole. Clearly, the bolometric luminosity is no longer a constant and the finding is inconsistent with the suggestion that M101 ULX-1 is a stellar-mass black hole with outflows, accreting near the Eddington limit. However, if the stellar-mass black hole has a variable beaming factor, it may explain the luminosity variation (Fabbiano et al. 2003). Nonetheless, the 2000 March outburst also shows a SSS/QSS transition; the spectrum of the first 30 ks (the flaring state) is quasisoft (kT = 0.14 keV) while it returns to supersoft (kT = 0.09 keV) later.

Based on the observed temperature ($kT < 100$ eV) and bolometric luminosity ($L_{bol} \sim 10^{40-41}$ ergs s^{-1}) during the 2004 July outburst, the black hole mass of M101 ULX-1 is estimated to be greater than 2800 $M_\odot$ (Kong et al. 2004). Using the 90% lower limits of the disk blackbody fits derived from the 2004 December outburst, the black hole mass is $> 1300 - 3 \times 10^4 M_\odot$. In particular, the quasisoft spectrum is consistent with the cool disk model for ULXs that has also been considered as evidence for IMBHs (Miller et al. 2003,2004; Wang et al. 2004). It is, however, worth noting that the cool disk model for ULXs usually has a power-law component which contributes a significant fraction of X-ray emission and it suggests a corona around the accretion disk. The lack of power-law component of M101 ULX-1 may simply imply that the disk emission is not Comptonized effectively or the corona does not exist. In contrast, IC 342 X–1 appears to have the disk emission completely Comptonized so that it can be characterized by a power-law alone (Kong 2003; Wang et al. 2004).

We expect that at least some of the ULXs involving IMBH accretors are transients (King et al. 2001; Kalogera et al. 2004). The required condition is that the donor must be a massive star ($\gtrsim 5M_\odot$) in regions of young populations. More recently, an optical counterpart of M101 ULX-1 was identified (Kuntz et al. 2005; Kong et al. 2005) from Hubble Space Telescope images. The colors and spectrum of the optical counterpart are consistent with a B supergiant with a mass of 9–12 $M_\odot$ (Kuntz et al. 2005). The source is also very close to star forming regions in a spiral arm (Kong et al. 2005). These results suggest that the stellar environment around M101 ULX-1 is suitable to house an IMBH.

In summary, we detected an outburst from M101 ULX-1 and this is the second outburst in 2004. During the outburst, the peak bolometric luminosity is about 2×10^{40} ergs s^{-1} and the spectra can be fitted with either a blackbody model or a disk blackbody model. The spectrum is supersoft except for the January 1 data during which the spectrum is consistent with QSSs in other external galaxies. Combining the fact that M101 ULX-1 is close to star forming region and has an optical counterpart consistent with a B supergiant, the compact object of M101 ULX-1 is likely to be an IMBH.

We thank an anonymous referee and John Davis for the discussion of pile-up. This work is supported by NASA grant NNG04GP58G. This work is based on observations obtained with *XMM-Newton*, an ESA mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA member states and the US (NASA).

REFERENCES

Davis, J. E. 2001, ApJ, 562, 575
Di Stefano, R., & Kong, A. K. H. 2003, ApJ, 592, 884
Di Stefano, R., & Kong, A. K. H. 2004, ApJ, 609, 710
Fabbiano, G., King, A. R., Zezas, A., Ponman, T. J., Rots, A., & Schweizer, F. 2003, ApJ, 591, 843
Freedman, W. L., et al. 2001, ApJ, 553, 47
Gehrels, N. 1986, ApJ, 303, 336
Kalogera, V., Henninger, M., Ivanova, N., & King, A. R. 2004, ApJ, 603, L41
King, A. R., Davies, M. B., Ward, M. J., Fabbiano, G., & Elvis, M. 2001, ApJ, 552, L109
King, A. R. & Pounds, K. A. 2003, MNRAS, 345, 657
Kong, A. K. H. & Di Stefano, R. 2003, ApJ, 590, L13
Kong, A. K. H., Di Stefano, R., & Yuan, F. 2004, ApJ, 617, L49
Kong, A. K. H., Rupen, M. P., Sjouwerman, L. O., & Di Stefano, R. 2005, in the proceedings of the XXII Texas Symposium on Relativistic Astrophysics, Stanford University (astro-ph/0503465)
Kong, A. K. H. 2003, MNRAS, 346, 265
Körding, E., Falcke, H., & Markoff, S. 2002, A&A, 382, L13
Kuntz, K. D., Gruendl, R. A., Chu, Y., Chen, C.-H. R., Still, M., Mukai, K., & Mushotzky, R. F. 2005, ApJ, 620, L31
Miller, J. M., Fabbiano, G., Miller, M. C., & Fabian, A. C 2003, ApJ, 585, L37
Miller, J. M., Fabian, A. C., & Miller, M. C. 2004, ApJ, 614, L117
Miller, M. C., & Colbert, E. J. M. 2004, International Journal of Modern Physics D, 13, 1
Mukai, K., Pence, W. D., Snowden, S. L., Kuntz, K. D. 2003, ApJ, 582, 184
Pence, W. D., Snowden, S. L., Mukai, K., & Kuntz, K. D. 2001, ApJ, 561, 189
van den Heuvel, E. P. J., Bhattacharya, D., Nomoto, K., & Rappaport, S. A. 1992, A&A, 262, 97
Wang, Q. D., Yao, Y., Fukui, W., Zhang, S. N., & Williams, R. 2004, ApJ, 609, 113